arXiv:1512.00999v1 [math.CO] 3 Dec 2015

Shattering bounds for tuple systems

Gábor Hegedűs
Óbuda University

October 11, 2016

Abstract

Let $V(n,d,q)$ stand for the q–ary Hamming spheres. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (q)^n$ denote a tuple system such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n,d_i,q)$, where $d_1 < \ldots < d_s$. We give here a general upper bound on the size of a shattered sets of the tuple system $\mathcal{C}$.

Keywords. shattered set, n-tuple system, Karpovsky–Milman theorem

1 Introduction

First we introduce some notations.

Let $[n]$ stand for the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. We denote the family of all subsets of $[n]$ by $2^{[n]}$.

Let $q \geq 2$ denote a fixed integer throughout this paper. Let (q) stand for the set $\{0,1,\ldots,q-1\}$.

Let $n > 0$, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$, and S be a subset of $[n]$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ *shatters* S if

$$\{F \cap S : \ F \in \mathcal{F}\} = 2^S. \tag{1}$$

Define

$$\mathrm{sh}(\mathcal{F}) = \{S \subseteq [n] : \ \mathcal{F} \text{ shatters } S\}. \tag{2}$$

The following well–known lemma was proved by Sauer in [2], and later independently by Vapnik and Chervonenkis in [5], and Perles and Shelah in [4].

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that* $0 \leq s \leq n-1$ *and let* $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ *be an arbitrary set family with no shattered set of size* $s+1$*. Then*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{n}{i}.$$

Subsets $\mathcal{V} \subseteq (q)^n$ will be called *tuple systems*. An element $\mathbf{v}$ of a tuple system $\mathcal{V}$ can also be considered naturally as a function from $[n]$ to (q).

Next we extends the usual binary notion of shattering. We say that the tuple system $\mathcal{V}$ *shatters* a set $S \subseteq [n]$, if

$$\{\mathbf{v} \mid_S \colon \ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

is the set of all functions from S to (q). Here $\mathbf{v} \mid_S$ denotes the restriction of the function $\mathbf{v}$ to the set S.

We define

$$\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{V}) := \{S \subseteq [n] : \ \mathcal{V} \text{ shatters } S\}, \tag{3}$$

the set of the shattered sets of the tuple system $\mathcal{V}$.

Clearly $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq 2^{[n]}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ is a set system, then we get

$$\mathrm{sh}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Sh}(\{\mathbf{v}_F \in 2^{(n)} : \ F \in \mathcal{F}\}).$$

We can also define the *q-ary Hamming spheres* $V(n,d,q)$.

Let $0 \leq d \leq n$. Then

$$\mathcal{V}(n,d,q) := \{\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in (q)^{[n]} : \ |\{i \in [n] : \ v_i \neq 0\}| = d\}.$$

Our main result is similar to the Singleton Bound [3].

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose that the tuple system* $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (q)^n$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q), \tag{4}$$

where $q \leq d_1 < \ldots < d_s$*. Define* $t := \max_i(d_{i+1} - d_i)$*, and* $k := \min(d_s, n - t + 1)$*. Then* $|F| \leq k$ *for each* $F \in sh(\mathcal{C})$*.*

Clearly our main result is sharp, namely we can choose $\mathcal{V} := \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n,i,q)$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$

Now we state our new upper bound for tuple systems.

Corollary 1.3 *Suppose that the tuple system* $\mathcal{C} \subseteq (q)^n$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q), \tag{5}$$

where $d_1 < \ldots < d_s$. *Define* $t := \max_i(d_{i+1} - d_i)$, *and* $k := \min(d_s, n-t+1)$. *Then*

$$|\mathcal{C}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

The choice $\mathcal{V} := \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, i, q)$, where $1 \leq s \leq n$, shows that this bound is sharp, too.

2 Proofs

We present here the proofs of our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.2:

Let $m := \max\{|F| : \ F \in \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})\}$. It is clear that $m \leq d_s$. Namely, proving in an indirect way, suppose that $m > d_s$. Then there exists an $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ such that $|F| = m$, and there exists a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with

$$v_i = q - 1 \text{ for each } i \in F.$$

Thus $|\{i \in [n] : \ v_i \neq 0\}| \geq |F| > d$, a contradiction with (4).

Let $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v}) := \{i \in [n] : \ v_i \neq 0\}$ denote the support of the vector $\mathbf{v}$.

Now we can suppose that the distance t appears between the levels d_i and d_{i+1}, i.e., $d_{i+1} - d_i = t$.

First suppose that $d_i \geq m$. Then $d_i + t = d_{i+1} \leq n$, thus $n - t \geq d_i$.

Proving in an indirect way, we suppose that $m \geq n - t + 2$, then $n - t \leq m - 2$, consequently

$$m \leq d_i \leq n - t \leq m - 2,$$

a contradiction.

Now consider the case $d_i + 1 \leq m$. Proving in an indirect way again, we suppose that $n - t + 2 \leq m$. Clearly there exists an $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ with $|F| = m$. Since $d_i + 1 \leq m$, hence there exists a $G \subseteq F$ with $|G| = d_i + 1$. The condition $F \in \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ means that there exists a vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{C}$ with

$$v_i = \begin{cases} q-1 & \text{if } i \in G \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in F \setminus G. \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v})| \geq d_{i+1}, \tag{6}$$

because $G \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v})$, $d_i + 1 = |G| \leq |\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v})|$, and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{V}(n, d_i, q)$.

But then $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq G \cup ([n] \setminus F)$, hence

$$|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v})| \leq |G \cup ([n] \setminus F)| = |G| + n - |F| = d_i + 1 + n - m$$

and it follows from the indirect condition that

$$|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{v})| \leq d_i + 1 + t - 2 = d_i + t - 1 < d_{i+1},$$

a contradiction with equation (6). □

Karpovsky and Milman proved in [1, Theorem 2] a natural generalization of Sauer's lemma for tuple systems.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $0 \leq s \leq n-1$ be an integer and let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq (q)^n$ be a tuple system with no shattered set of size $s+1$. Then*

$$|\mathcal{V}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3:

It follows obviously from Theorem 1.2 and 2.1.

References

[1] M. G. Karpovsky, V. D. Milman, Coordinate density of vectors, *Discrete Mathematics* **24** (1978), 177–184.

[2] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, A* **13** (1972), 145–147.

[3] Singleton, R.C. (1964), "Maximum distance q-nary codes", IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 10 (2): 116118

[4] S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **41** (1972), 247–261.

[5] V. N. Vapnik, A. Ya. Chervonenkis, The uniform convergence of relative frequencies of events to their probabilities *Theor. Probability Appl.* **16** (1971), 264–280.